Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/595,666	KOJI, NANIWADA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DEAN O. TAKAOKA	2817
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>DEAN O. TAKAOKA</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>DAVID R. METZGER</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 March 2009	Time: <u>1:15pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
4, 7		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner noted informalities in claims 4 and 7 not addressed in the previous Office actions. It was agreed to amend claims 4 and 7 by Examiners amendment in order to place the claims in condition for allowance. The Examiner also noted Fig. 10 still required a "prior art" label. Applicant agreed to submit a replacement sheet on or before payment of the issue fee.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)